

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

TYLER DIVISION

CHARLOTTE WHITFIELD

§

v.

§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:11-CV-85

COMMISSIONER, SOCIAL SECURITY
ADMINISTRATION

§

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Plaintiff Charlotte Whitfield filed the above-styled and numbered civil action pursuant to the Social Security Act, Section 205(g), for judicial review of the Commissioners's denial of her application for Social Security benefits. The cause of action was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Judith K. Guthrie, who issued a Report and Recommendation concluding that the decision of the Commissioner should be affirmed and the complaint be dismissed. Plaintiff has filed written objections.

The Report of the Magistrate Judge, which contains her proposed findings of fact and recommendations for the disposition of such action, has been presented for consideration. Plaintiff's objections essentially restate her claims as to the Administrative Law Judge's findings and do not directly address the findings and conclusions in the Magistrate Judge's Report. Having made a *de novo* review of the objections raised by Plaintiff to the Report, the Court is of the opinion that the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct, and the objections of Plaintiff are without merit. Therefore the Court hereby adopts the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge as the findings and conclusions of the Court. It is accordingly

ORDERED that Plaintiff's objections are hereby **OVERRULED**. It is further

ORDERED that the decision of the Commissioner is **AFFIRMED** and the complaint is hereby **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. It is further

ORDERED that any motion not previously ruled on is **DENIED**.

It is SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 29th day of May, 2012.


MICHAEL H. SCHNEIDER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE